Trigger and Mechanism of Co-seismic Groundwater Level Changes in the Togari350 well, central Japan

Yasuhiro Asai

Tono Research Institute of Earthquake Science,

Association for the Development of Earthquake Prediction

The 6th Taiwan - Japan Joint Workshop on Hydrological and Geochemical Research for Earthquake Prediction
26-27 September, 2007, Tainan, Taiwan

Contents

- Continuous groundwater level (GWL) observation
- Feature of co-seismic GWL changes
- Relationship between dynamic strain/tilt observation and co-seismic GWL changes

  --- Finding of the threshold of dynamic strain/tilt variations
- Applying the 1-dimensional finite porous aquifer model
- Mechanism of the co-seismic GWL change

Togari Crustal Activity Borehole Observatory（TGR350）





Borehole profile, Instruments, and geological and hydrological environment in and around TGR350 and DH-2.





Groundwater Level : Since May,1998 [1-hour]

Crustal movement (Strain, Tilt): Since January,1999 [10-sec] ; July,2000 [1-Hz]

TGR350 and DH-2, groundwater level (hourly record)

We observed 17 groundwater level changes in response to local and distant earthquakes.



Locations of TGR350 and epicenters



Feature of co-seismic GWL changes

The common feature of all co-seismic GWL changes is 'rise'





Tidal component and Atmosheric pressure response are removed by using BAYTAP-G program.

All normalized all co-seismic groundwater level changes





All Co-seismic changes are quite similar up to the peak.

This result suggest that **the source for co-seismic changes has a linear response to the input**.

Relationship between dynamic strain/tilt variation and co-seismic GWL changes



GWL rise after the passage of the seismic waves (dynamic strain/tilt variations).

Comparison of Co-seismic GWL change and Dynamic strain/tilt variations



Large dynamic strain/tilt variations

→ Large Co-seismic change

Verification of the threshold

$M_{JMA} \geqq -0.45+2.45\log_{10}D$
(Haibara; Matsumoto and Roeloffs, 2003)

$M_{JMA} \geqq -1.0+2.75\log 10D$
(TGR350; This study)

However, there are many earthquakes caused no co-seismic GWL changes even when magnitude M_{JMA} and D satisfy above the relation.

We check the peak-to-peak amplitudes of 142 dynamic strain/tilt variations that caused no co-seismic GWL changes (blue mark) in the period July 2000 to December 2004.



Groundwater level changes and peak-to-peak amplitudes of the dynamic strain variations and tilt-down variations in 2004



Discovery of the threshold values of approximately 3×10^{-7} strain and 2×10^{-4} radian.



Geological and hydrological information in and around TGR350.

Modified from JNC (2003).



Trace of NNW fault

Steady state flow

NNW fault

=

Low permeability





Depth section by Seismic reflection survey

Applying the Roeloffs(1998)'s mechanism

---Diffusion of a localized co-seismic pressure increase in an isotropic homogeneous 1-dimensional finite porous aquifer



Observed (black lines)
Theoretical (red dashed line)

The head, $h_s(x,t;K_h,S_s)$, satisfies the diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 h_s}{\partial x^2} = \frac{S_s}{K_h}\frac{\partial h_s}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{c_h}\frac{\partial h_s}{\partial t}$$

Horizontal hydraulic diffusivity *Ch=Kh/Ss* (m^2/sec)

Kh: hydraulic cnductivity [m/sec]

Ss specific storage [m^{-1}]



- Observed co-seismic GWL changes.
- Discovery of the threshold values of dynamic strain/tilt variations.
- Geological and hydrological information in and around TGR350.

↓

We propose a <u>realistic mechanism</u> of the co-seismic GWL changes.


NNW fault
(Low permeability)
Ground Level (GL)
TGR350
casing
Water level up
Average
Water Level
Tertiary sedimentary rocks
GL-90m
Granite bedrock
Steady state flow
Pore pressure
increase
Confined aquifer (GL-200m - 230m)
Incremental flow
Removal of fault clay
and breccias occur.
Pore pressure
increase
Confined aquifer (GL-290m - 330m)
Mechanism of co-seismic
GWL change (To the peak)


NNW fault
(Low permeability)
TGR350
Peak level
GWL down
Tertiary sedimentary rocks
GL-90m
Granite bedrock
Steady state flow
Pore pressure increase
Confined aquifer (GL-200m - 230m)
Incremental flow
Clogging is generated by the groundwater flow.
Pore pressure increase
Confined aquifer (GL-290m - 330m)
Mechanism of co-seismic GWL change (after the peak)

Conclusions

- During the period from August, 1998 to June 2005,

  17 co-seismic groundwater level changes are observed in TGR350, Central Japan.

  All changes are 'rise'. The elapsed time of the peak is in proportion to the peak amplitude of Co-seismic GWL changes.

- Peak amplitude of co-seismic groundwater changes are in proportion to the peak-to-peak amplitude of dynamic strain/tilt variations above the certain threshold values.

- We propose the realistic mechanism of Co-seismic groundwater level changes, which is consistent with geological and hydrological information in and around TGR350.